

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,526	06/25/2001	Jon C. Evans	38616.0002	5740
25227	7590 06/17/2004		EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			RUDY, ANDREW J	
1650 TYSON SUITE 300	IS BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, V	/A 22102	1. 10	3627	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

o,	Application Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/887,526	EVANS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1		
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627	<u> </u>		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orresponaence adaress -	•=		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
<u> </u>	- action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits	s is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Application	on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	<b>j</b> .			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (				
<ul> <li>2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,			

Application/Control Number: 09/887,526

Art Unit: 3627

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-15 and 29-37, drawn to a computerized/electronic/Internet-based method for a user to create an advertisement, classified in class 705, subclass 27.
  - II. Claims 16-28 and 38-40, drawn to a computerized system for creating an advertisement, classified in class 703, subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process such as a database to store and illustrate a lottery management system.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,526

Art Unit: 3627

5. Upon election of either Group I or Group II, it is noted that this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Claims 1, 9, 16, 24, 29, 31, 32, 35, 38 and 39.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/887,526

Art Unit: 3627

- 6. Applicant is requested to indicate where support for the elected Group/species is found in the specification.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Mr. Alex Chartove (Reg. No. 31,942) on June 16, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Mr. Chartove requested that the restriction requirement be mailed out.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement has been received December 11, 2001 and will be reviewed in due course.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday thru Friday, 7:30 a.m until 6 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 09/887,526

Art Unit: 3627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Richy June 16, 2004 Page 5